

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,676	MITSURU, TAKASHIMA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles A. Marmor, II	3736

All Participants:

Status of Application: After-Final

(1) Charles A. Marmor, II.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert D Katz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2006

Time: 1:45 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


CHARLES MARMOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the limitation "non-directional microphones and" at lines 17-18 of claim 5 to read --air-- in order to more clearly define Applicant's invention. The change will be made by the Examiner's Amendment attached hereto. Applicant further gave his consent to charge his deposit account for the one month extension of time required to enter the amendment..